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ABSTRACT

The optimal model for a hydraulic crane compound scheme is discussed for four types of mobile logging machines: secondary transport truck or lorry, skidder (feller-skidder), forwarder, and harvester (feller-buncher).
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INTRODUCTION

The established practice of using cranes on mobile forest machines indicates that it is more reasonable to locate a crane on the cab or with the cab on a rotating platform. This compound scheme improves the field of vision, the user comfort, and reduces the crane weight. However, the crane-holding cabs of forest machines (e.g., VALMET 824, FMG 200 BGN, FMG 678 MINI) need additional strengthening of the cab or frame (Figure 1). The location of the crane with the cab on a rotating platform makes the forest machine structure heavier and more complicated (Figure 2). This type of crane compound scheme is used mostly on the powerful feller-bunchers (e.g., Russian ML-20, LP-19A) and harvesters (e.g., VALMET 901).

The location of the crane on a pillar behind the cab, and this crane-cab combination on the front (Figure 3) or back (Figure 4) of the frame is the most popular at the moment. The resulting machine has a considerably reduced weight, since the support-rotating device is lighter. However, the working space of the crane is reduced due to a limitation of vision. This compound scheme is typical on all forwarders (e.g., Timberjack 810B, 1010, 1110, LT-189; VALMET 840, VALMET 862; PONSSE S10, S15). The crane of a logging transport truck is more often located on special pillars with hydraulic outriggers behind the machine on the console part of the frame (Figure 5). This compound scheme is made to serve the bunk decks of both the base machine and the trailer.
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In the final compound scheme (Figure 6) the crane located in the front the cab of the harvester is especially popular (e.g., FMG 990, FMG 0470, FMG 0410, FMG 0450, VALMET 701). This scheme provides a fine view of the working space.

**DESIGN VARIABLES SELECTION**

The hydraulic crane of a forest machine as an object of design is characterized by the following main parameters (Figure 7):
- maximum outreach (L),
- lifting moment (M), and
- rotation moment (M₂₀⁰),
which enter into the model from our previous study [1].

In addition, the crane compound scheme on a forest machine is determined by the base machine parameters and the dimensions of the bunk device:
- machine carrying capacity (Q),
- machine chassis height (H_chassis),
- cab height (H_cab),
- the dimensions of the bunk platform for forwarder or truck (length - A and width - B),
- height of bunk device (H_bunk), and
- the maximum admissible overall dimensions: height of machine (H_max).

Depending on the type of forest machine and attachments (e.g., harvester, feller-buncher, feller-skidder) it is necessary to take into consideration:
- height of the working device for the crane (harvester or gripping-cutting head for harvester, feller-skidder, and feller-buncher or the jaw grip for forwarder, skidder with clamping bunk, or truck - H_grab),
- the minimum necessary horizontal distance from the boom-pillar hinge axle (or axis of pillar rotation) to the bunk or the receiving device of truck and forwarder (C), and
- the minimum distance from the axis of pillar rotation to the nearest cutting tree on ground level for harvester or feller-buncher (C_fell).

The general characteristics of the harvested trees (e.g., average diameter - D, tree’s species - S) should be taken into account also.
The problem of the synthesis of the design parameters for the crane can be divided into two dependent optimization tasks:

1. the crane “skeleton”, which includes the main steel construction of the crane (pillar, boom, outboom, and grapple or harvester head); and
2. the lever transmission mechanisms, located on the skeleton of the crane and intended for translating the motions of the power hydraulic cylinders into corresponding relative rotations in the skeleton hinges.

The optimization of the skeleton compound scheme may be formulated by a vector diagram of the initial data \([L, M, M_{out}, Q, H_{bunk}, H_{cy}, H_{max}, H_{grab}, A, B, C, C_{fell}, D, and S}\), thus finding:
- the lengths of the crane sections: boom \(l_c\), outboom \(l_p\), telescopic lengthener \(l_t\), and pillar \(h_o\);
- the limiting angles of boom rotation \(\psi_0\) and \(\psi_k\); and
- the limiting angles of outboom rotation \(\phi_0\) and \(\phi_k\), from which can be chosen the location on the crane skeleton of the hydraulic cylinders for the boom and the outboom control mechanisms.

**CRITERIA SELECTION**

Generally, this problem has an unlimited number of solutions. Therefore, the problem of substantiation of the main design parameters for the crane skeleton can be formulated as an optimization, which predetermines the choice of the optimization criteria:

\[
\begin{align*}
W_{weight} (l_c, l_p, l_t, h_o, y_0, y_k, \psi_0, \psi_k) & \rightarrow \min \\
W_{space} (l_c, l_p, l_t, h_o, y_0, y_k, \psi_0, \psi_k) & \rightarrow \max
\end{align*}
\]  
(1)

where

\[W_{weight} = \text{the crane weight criterion, and} \]
\[W_{space} = \text{the working space criterion.}\]

**FUNCTIONAL CONSTRAINTS**

**Truck crane**

The specifications of a logging transport truck crane in general are characterized by four main boundary positions (Figure 8), which limit the independent variables of the system (1). The first position is determined by the transport location of the crane on an empty truck, the second by the log or the sortments location on the ground, the third by the nearness of the bunk deck relative to the pillar rotation axis, and the fourth by the greatest crane working height during the loading-unloading of cargo. Others positions of the crane are intermediates (including the transport position of the crane on a fully loaded truck) which do not have an influence on the synthesis of the skeleton scheme.

It is sufficient to consider loading conditions for solving the crane skeleton compound problem. In this case the calculation problem of the crane parameters relates to the four points problem above.

Loading conditions include:
- the crane transporting position for an empty truck, determined by the overall dimensions of the base machine;
- on the ground - by the maximum crane outreach; and
- on the bunk deck - by the distance to the crane pillar \((C)\), length \((A)\), and width \((B)\), when the bunk deck is fully loaded; and the height of the bunk \((H_{bunk})\), which can be calculated by the formula:

![Figure 8. Skeleton compound scheme.](image-url)
The same specification limits may be found for other types of cranes.

Skidder with clamping bunk or feller-skidder crane

For the crane of a feller-skidder or skidder the equation system will be:

\[
\begin{align*}
H & = Q \cdot \frac{A}{B} \cdot T_{\text{density}} \cdot W_{\text{density}} + H_{\text{chassis}} \\
\end{align*}
\]  (2)

where:

- \( T_{\text{density}} \) = coefficient of timber density on the bunk deck (determined by species, length, and average diameter of trees, log, or sortment; and on the logging technology),
- \( W_{\text{density}} \) = wood density reduction for a tree species (determined by reference data for the forest region in which the designed machine will be operating).

Using crane compound schemes (Figures 1, 3, 4, and 5), the height of a cart load of timber is limited by the maximum overall machine dimensions \( H_{\text{max}} \):

\[
H_{\text{bunk}} < H_{\text{max}} \\
\]  (3)

For other schemes this limitation is not relevant.

Thus when constructing the crane "skeleton" compound scheme it is sufficient to consider four main points: determined by the crane position and location in transport, the location of the logs on the ground, and the two crane positions, which are determined by the bank or the receiving device location.

The following specification limits for a logging truck crane are:

\[
\begin{align*}
1_c + 1_p + 1_t &= \sqrt{L^2 + (h_0 + H_{\text{chassis}} - H_{\text{grap}})^2} \\
1_c \cdot \cos(\psi) \cdot 1_p \cdot \cos(\psi_0 - \phi) - H_{\text{grap}} &= H_{\text{bunk}} \\
h_0 + H_{\text{chassis}} + 1_c \cdot \cos(\psi_0 - \phi) - 1_p - H_{\text{grap}} &= H_{\text{bunk}} \\
h_0 &= 1_c \cdot \cos(\psi) \\
H_{\text{grap}} &= 1_p \cdot \cos(\psi_0 - \phi) \\
1_c \cdot \sin(\psi_0) \cdot 1_p \cdot \sin(\psi_0 - \phi) &< A.
\end{align*}
\]  (4)

For fully loaded transport position the equation \( 1_c + 1_p + H_{\text{grap}} < A \) may be added.

The five equations have eight unknown parameters: \( 1_c, 1_p, 1_t, h_0, \psi, \psi_0, \phi, \phi_0 \). So, there is a fundamental possibility of free choice for three of them. These choices may have an optimizational character.

In mathematical form the optimization task for truck (short-wood technology, crane on chassis;
Figure 8) is the result of the combination of system (1) and formula (4). Moreover, the design variables \( l_c, l_p, l_t, h_o \) should be positive.

A minimum crane weight \( W_{\text{weight}} \) will be achieved by aspiring for a minimum pillar length \( h_o \rightarrow \text{min} \). This limit is formalized by installing conditions of contact on the mobile crane elements with outward contours of the chassis, platform, and bunk:

\[
\text{There } H_{\text{pillar}} = h_o + H_{\text{chassis}}
\]

The working space criterion \( W_{\text{space}} \) follows:

\[
W_{\text{space}}(l_c, l_p, l_t, h_o, \psi_0, \psi_k, \phi_0, \phi_k) = \frac{3 \cdot V(l_c, l_p, l_t, h_o, \psi_0, \psi_k, \phi_0, \phi_k)}{4 \cdot p(l_c + l_p + l_t + h_o)^3}
\]

where
\[
V = \text{volume of working space.}
\]

From the maximization point of the working space criterion \( W_{\text{space}} \), it is expedient to make \( \psi_0 = 0 \) and \( h_o \rightarrow \text{min} \).

The length of the telescopic section is chosen from the specified dimensions of the hydraulic cylinders, and also takes into account the special features of the telescopic lengthener type. There is an outboom length \( (l_p) \) limit:

\[
l_p > L_{\text{min}} + l_{\text{min}}
\]

where
\[
\begin{align*}
L_{\text{min}} &= \text{minimum length of chosen hydraulic cylinder;} \\
l_{\text{min}} &= \text{minimum outboom dimension, necessary for location of the outboom hinge piers, the working part of the hydraulic cylinders of the telescopic section drive mechanisms.}
\end{align*}
\]

Solution of the equations (4) and inequality systems (3), (8), and (10) make it possible to design a hydraulic crane "skeleton" compound scheme for a logging truck.

The same procedures may be applied to other types of crane equipment. The number of constraints equations in these models are less than the main design parameters. So, the decision-making procedure must have a non-linear programming algorithm [3].

**CONCLUSIONS**

In this paper a systematic method for the design of forest machinery cranes is described on the basis of crane weight and working space criteria. The method allows for the synthesis of the crane “skeleton” according to machinery type, crane location on a machine, and logging technology.

For the case study the results showed the necessity of separate designs of forest cranes by machinery type: truck, skidder or feller-skidder, forwarder, and harvester or feller-buncher. This statement is trivial but important, because some machinery construction firms have tried to use the same crane for the different types of machines.

The case study also showed that the functional constraints play the key role in the final decision making, especially, for a truck or lorry.

The present procedure of the “skeleton” compound scheme synthesis supports forest engineers by providing them with the knowledge for designing the new optimal machinery or choosing the appropriate manufacturing machines.

The speed of movement of machine elements (their combination - speed of load) is a key factor in productivity. The set (combination) of measures of the skeleton influences productivity although power transmission has the greatest influence. Therefore, the future study should be devoted to the compound scheme synthesis of the lever transmission mechanisms of the cranes. Moreover, it is an interesting task to take into account additional criteria such as, for example, the position precision of a harvester head or a grapple and the output of the machinery.
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